

Texas Department of State Health Services





Texas Collaborative for HIV Education and Prevention (TCHEP) Learning Series Updates and Recommendations for Primary and Emergency Care Services



Financial Benefits and Barriers to Routine HIV Screening in Emergency Departments: Best Practices in Texas







Disclosure to Learners

Texas Collaborative for HIV Education & Prevention Learning Activities:

"Financial Benefits and Barriers to Routine HIV Screening in Emergency Departments: Best Practices in Texas"

July 14, 2021



Successful Completion

Successful completion of this continuing education event requires that you:

- Complete registration and sign in
- Attend the entire event
- Participate in education activities, and
- Complete the participant evaluation



Commercial Support & Disclosure of Conflict of Interest

This event received no commercial support.

The speakers and Planning Committee for this event have disclosed no financial interests.



Non-Endorsement Statement

Accredited status does not imply endorsement of any commercial products or services by the Department of State Health Services, CE Service; Texas Medical Association; or American Nurse Credentialing Center.



Off Label Use

The speakers did not disclose the use of products for a purpose other than what it had been approved for by the Food and Drug Administration.



Expiration for awarding contact hours/credits

Complete and submit the evaluation survey by **July 28**th, 2021.



Continuing Education

Continuing Medical Education:

The Texas Department of State Health Services, Continuing Education Service is accredited by the Texas Medical Association to provide continuing medical education for physicians. The Texas Department of State Health Services, Continuing Education Service designates this live event for a maximum of 1.00 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credits*[™]. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Continuing Nursing Education:

The Texas Department of State Health Services, Continuing Education Service is accredited as a provider of continuing nursing education by the American Nurses Credentialing Center's Commission on Accreditation.

The Texas Department of State Health Services, Continuing Education Service has awarded 1.00 contact hours of Continuing Nursing Education.



Continuing Education

Other professions:

- Certified Health Education Specialists
- Certified in Public Health
- Social Workers



- For more information on CE credits, please contact Isabel Clark <u>Isabel.Clark@dshs.texas.gov</u> or email us at <u>tchep@uthct.edu</u>
- For any other question on TCHEP, including materials from this learning series, please visit: <u>http://tchep.org</u>







Samuel J. Prater, MD

Memorial Hermann TMC-ED, UT System McGovern Medical School

Ben Raimer, MD, MA, FAAP

The University of Texas Medical Branch









Texas Department of State Health Services





Financial Benefits and Barriers to Routine HIV Screening in Emergency Departments: Best Practices in Texas

Samuel J. Prater, MD Ben Raimer, MD

Financial Disclosure

- Dr. Raimer has no relevant financial disclosures.
- Dr. Prater receives grant support from Gilead sciences to maintain a Hepatitis C screening program in the Emergency Department.
 - Grant support helps fund linkage to care for patients infected with HCV and/or HIV.



Learning Objectives

Upon completion of this event, participants should be able to:

- Identify perceived financial barriers and potential benefits associated with implementing routine opt out HIV screening in emergency departments.
- Describe effective strategies and best practices to maximize the financial benefits of and overcome the perceived barriers associated with routine HIV screening programs in emergency departments.



Historical Background







Routine HIV Screening

• Testing is Opt-Out

 Texas does not require a separate written consent for HIV testing (see Texas Health and Safety Code Sections 81.105 and 81.106)

• Language matters

- An example of opt-out language: "We will include an HIV test in your blood work today. Do you have any questions?"
- Gateway to all other HIV preventive services including PrEP and nPEP



Reimbursement Assumptions

- ACA requires most private insurance plan provide zero-dollar coverage for the preventive services recommended by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force
- How to ensure your patients benefit from these services:
 - CPT codes, ICD-10 codes, use modifiers (33) as appropriate

Recommendation Summary

Population	Recommendation	Grade
Pregnant persons	The USPSTF recommends that clinicians screen for HIV infection in all pregnant persons, including those who present in labor or at delivery whose HIV status is unknown.	A
Adolescents and adults aged 15 to 65 years	The USPSTF recommends that clinicians screen for HIV infection in adolescents and adults aged 15 to 65 years. Younger adolescents and older adults who are at increased risk of infection should also be screened. See the Clinical Considerations section for more information about assessment of risk, screening intervals, and rescreening in pregnancy.	A



Memorial Hermann Opt-Out HIV Screening

• Started 2010

- Screened ~85,000 (213 new diagnosis, 0.3%) from 2010-2014
- Combination financing
 - MH: IT design/build, testing imbedded in nursing workflow
 - DSHS: Linkage to care, data analytics
 - Patients/Payors: Cost of test billed directly as part of their EC visit
 - City of Houston: Financial support for nursing champion
- Current funding via MH-TMC, patients/payors, and grant funding for LTC



UTMB HIV Screening

Dr. Raimer







Routine Opt-Out HIV Screening Benefits and Cost-Effectiveness







Benefits

- Augment risk-based testing
- Identify missed opportunities associated with risk-based only testing
- Identify PLWH unware of their HIV status
- Identify PLWH before they have advanced HIV disease or AIDS



Benefits

- Educate PLWH regarding risk behaviors associated with HIV transmission
- Re-engage PLWH who are out of care into care to achieve viral suppression
- EDs accounted for highest percentage (75.4%) of <u>new</u> cases in DSHS screening program



Benefits

• Routine screening addresses and reduces the stigma and bias



- 1. When people are afraid of experiencing discrimination, they are less likely to be tested or treated for HIV.
- Treating those living with HIV differently can negatively affect their ability to secure life's necessities, like housing, employment, and medical care

#StopHIVTogether



LET'S CHANGE THE WAY WE TALK ABOUT HIV

Instead of saying "high-risk groups," say: 1. Highly affected communities 2. Key populations

#StopHIVTogether





Financial Benefits

- Decreased Transmission
 - Lifetime cost of HIV infection is estimated at ~\$380K (2010 dollars)
 - Averting just one transmission can fund a program for years
- Earlier diagnosis results in treatment before AIDS-defining illness
 - Lower overall healthcare cost burden
 - Reduce repeat ED visits related to AIDS-related complications
 - Average cost in 2017 of ED visit was \$690 (AHRQ)
- Improved Quality Adjusted Life Years (QALY)



Cost Effectiveness

- Testing is cheap
 - Range \$2.67-\$109 per test (Mwachofi et al. 2020)
 - Cost of test includes labor, reagent/supplies, testing equipment
 - Larger platform = reduced cost per test
- Incremental cost effectiveness ratio (ICER) per QALY is less than CDC/WHO thresholds and standards
 - CDC = \$50-\$150K
 - WHO = \$57-\$171k
 - HIV screening = \$39,700-\$93,735 (Mwachofi et al. 2020)



Cost Effectiveness

- Reduced transmission can help sustain program and lower overall healthcare costs
 - Every transmission averted saves annual costs of treating HIV = ~\$23,000
- Earlier initiation of ART can avert future ED visits and hospitalizations, saving patient, payer, and healthcare system future costs
 - Average ED cost \$690 (AHRQ)
 - Average hospitalization cost \$11,700 (AHRQ)



Cost Effectiveness

- Earlier diagnosis and initiation of ART can directly save local hospital campus tens of thousands of dollars
 - Middle aged male with few missed opportunities in short period, screen + through opt-out, required ICU with mechanical ventilation and ECMO
 - Mean Total ECMO cost ~\$213,000 (Mishra 2010)
 - Middle aged female with multiple visits over course of > 1 year with multiple specialty follow ups and procedures and was ultimately admitted, screen + through opt-out, expired < 2 weeks after admission
 - Mean ICU Cost ~\$32,000 (Dasta 2005)



Reimbursement Strategies

- Bundled payments exist but cost of test is relatively cheap especially in comparison to avoidable hospitalizations and repeat visits.
- Hospital should submit CPT codes to payers and HCPS codes to Medicare for routine, opt-out HIV screening.

CPT Codes			
Code	Rapid Test Modifier	Description	
87389		4th Generation Combo HIV Ab/Ag test	
86701	92	Antibody; HIV-1	
86703	92	Antibody; HIV-1, HIV-2 (Supplemental assay)	
87534		HIV-1: Nucleic Acid (DNA or RNA), direct probe (viral load)	
87535		HIV-1 RNA assay (QUALITATIVE); reverse transcriptase	
87536		HIV-1: (DNA or RNA); reverse transcriptase (viral load)	
07300	A3	Infestious exect entires detection by conversion encourses.	
The mo	difier 92 is ac	Ided to the CPT code to identify point of care test technology.	

Medicare HCPS Codes			
Code	Description		
G0475	HIV antigen/antibody, combination assay, screening		
G0432	Infectious agent antibody detection by enzyme immunoassay (EIA) technique, HIV-1 and/or HIV-2, screening		
G0433	Infectious agent antibody detection by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) technique, HIV-1 and/or HIV-2, screening		
G0435	Infectious agent antibody detection by rapid antibody test, HIV-1 and/or HIV-2, screening		
Use ICD-10 Code Z11.4 with G-codes			



Reimbursement Strategies

- Use Modifier 33
 - Informs payer that service provided carries an "A" or "B" rating from the USPSTF
 - Informs payer that service is required to be provided without patient cost sharing
 - "Use modifier 33 on the CPT code for HIV screening. This informs the payer that the service is a service recommended by the USPSTF. For patients with commercial policies, it ensures that the insurance company will pay the claim without a patient due amount. No co-pay or deductible should be applied to a service with a USPSTF "A" or "B" rating." (NASTAD)



Reimbursement Pitfalls

- Multiple reasons for service denial of payment:
 - The test was done in a setting in which a bundled payment was negotiated for the service, and the screening is not included in the negotiated rate.
 - The patient is already diagnosed with the condition, and no longer needs to be screened for the illness.
 - An incorrect diagnosis is reported, can add ICD-10 code to EC visit:
 - Use Z00.00 (Encounter for general adult medical examination without abnormal findings) with modifier 33 and CPT code, flags service as USPSTF Grade A preventative service and not a diagnostic test
 - Use Z11.4 (Encounter for screening for HIV) some payers will interpret this code as diagnostic and not preventative
 - The payer has established frequency limits for the service.
 - Modifier 33 was not appended to the CPT or HCPCS code.



Closing Remarks

- Routine HIV screening is the right thing to do
- An HIV screening program can pay for itself
 - Reduced transmission saves \$
 - Earlier initiation of ART saves \$
- Numerous studies have shown that screening is cost-effective
- Insurers do reimburse for HIV screening
 - Remember to add modifier 33 to your CPT/HCPS codes
 - Use an additional ICD-10 code if needed



References

- Dasta JF, McLaughlin TP, Mody SH, Piech CT. Daily cost of an intensive care unit day: the contribution of mechanical ventilation. Crit Care Med. 2005 Jun;33(6):1266-71. doi: 10.1097/01.ccm.0000164543.14619.00.
 PMID: 15942342.
- Mwachofi A, Fadul NA, Dortche C, Collins C. Cost-effectiveness of HIV screening in emergency departments: a systematic review. AIDS Care. 2020 Sep 15:1-12. doi: 10.1080/09540121.2020.1817299. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 32933322.
- Vinod Mishra, Jan L. Svennevig, Jan F. Bugge, Sølvi Andresen, Agnete Mathisen, Harald Karlsen, Ishtiaq Khushi, Terje P. Hagen, Cost of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: evidence from the Rikshospitalet University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, *European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery*, Volume 37, Issue 2, February 2010, Pages 339–342, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcts.2009.06.059</u>
- https://www.nastad.org/resource/billing-coding-guide-hiv-prevention



That's all, folks!

Any Questions?









